## **Olmsted Falls City School District**

Ohio Department of Education

PO Box 38010, Olmsted Falls, OH 44138-0010-Cuyahoga County



The District Report Card for the 2011-2012 school year shows the progress districts have made based on four measures of performance.





Indicators

Performance Index





Adequate Value-Added Yearly Progress

The combination of the four measures is the basis for assigning state designations to districts, buildings and community schools.

The six designations are

- Excellent with Distinction
- Excellent
- Effective
- Continuous Improvement
- Academic Watch
- Academic Emergency



To meet a test indicator for grades 3-8 and 10, at least 75% of students tested must score proficient or higher

on that test. Other indicator requirements are: 11th grade Ohio Graduation Tests, 85%; Attendance Rate. 93%: Graduation Rate. 90%.

On the Web: reportcard.ohio.gov

# 2011-2012 School Year Report Card

Current Superintendent: Todd F. Hoadley (440) 427-6000







93.2%

92.5%

92.9%



79.0%

79.8%

83.3%

# State Indicators

Percentage of Students at and above the Proficient Level
Your District Similar Districts \* State
2011-2012 2011-2012 2011-2012

The state requirement is 75 percent

The state requirement is 75 percent

91.3%

90.5%

93.0%

#### 3rd Grade Achievement

- 1. Reading
- 2. Mathematics

### 4th Grade Achievement

- 3. Reading
- 4. Mathematics

### 5th Grade Achievement

- 5. Reading
- 6. Mathematics
- 7. Science

#### 6th Grade Achievement

- 8. Reading
- 9. Mathematics

### 7th Grade Achievement

- 10. Reading
- 11. Mathematics

### 8th Grade Achievement

- 12. Reading
- 13. Mathematics
- 14. Science

#### Ohio Graduation Tests (10th Grade)

- 15. Reading
- 16. Mathematics
- 17. Writing
- 18. Science
- 19. Social Studies

### Ohio Graduation Tests (11th Grade)\*\*

- 20. Reading
- 21. Mathematics
- 22. Writing
- 23. Science
- 24. Social Studies

#### Attendance Rate

25. All Grades

#### 2010-11 Four-Year Graduation Rate

26. School

- = Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group.

92.0% 90.9% 78.4% The state requirement is 75 percent 92.4% 89.3% 76.8% 86.6% 🗸 83.7% 67.5% 90.9% 🗸 87.8% 72.5% The state requirement is 75 percent 94.1% 95.0% 86.7% 92.5% 91.2% 79.9% The state requirement is 75 percent 93.1% 90.9% 79.5% 94.5% 87.2% 73.6% The state requirement is 75 percent 92.7% 92.2% 83.0% 91.9% 🗸 91.2% 79.6% 89.1% 87.0% 71.5% The state requirement is 75 percent 94.0% 🗸 95.0% 86.0% 94.7% 93.6% 82.6% 93.4% 95.0% 87.1% 89.7% 90.1% 76.7% 93.0% 93.0% 81.6% The state requirement is 85 percent 98.9% 98.5% 92.6% 98.5% 🗸 97.6% 89.9% 99.3% 98.4% 93.0% 95.6% ✓ 96.2% 85.6% 97.1% ✓ 96.9% 87.8% The state requirement is 93 percent 96.4% 95.9% 94.5% The state requirement is 90 percent 94.2% 93.7% 79.7%


Any result at or above the state standard is indicated by a .


\* Similar Districts are based on comparing demographic, socioeconomic and geographic factors.


\*\* Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders


# Your District's Assessment Results Over Time


All students in the district for a full academic year are included in the results.















State Indicators
The State


Indicators
are based on state
assessments, as well
as on attendance and
graduation rates.
To earn an indicator
for Achievement or
Graduation Tests, at
least 75% of students
must reach proficient
or above for the given
assessment. For
the 11th grade Ohio
Graduation Tests

indicators, a cumulative

85% passage rate for each

assessment is required.





<sup>\*</sup> Cumulative results for students who took the tests as 10th or 11th graders.

# **Performance Index**

# Performance Index Calculations for the 2011-2012 School Year

.0
.7
.4
.8
.9
.7
3

Your District's Performance Index 106.5

Performance Index

The Performance
Index reflects the
achievement of every student
enrolled for the full academic

year. The Performance Index is a weighted average that includes all tested subjects and grades and untested students. The greatest weight is given to advanced scores (1.2); the weights decrease for each performance level and a weight of zero is given to untested students. This results in a scale from 0 to 120 points. The Performance Index can be compared across years to show district achievement trends.

### **Performance Index Over Time**

2011-2012 2010-2011 2009-2010 106.5 105.7 104.8

# Value-Added Measure

Grade 5

Overall Composite

Scores reflect grade level and overall composite ratings for the 2011-2012 school year.

Grade 8

Grade 7

Reading

+ + / + /

Grade 6

**Mathematics** 

**✓** + −

Your district's Value-Added rating represents the progress your district has made with its students since last school year. In contrast, achievement scores represent students' performance at a point in time. A score of "Above" indicates greater than one year of progress

has been achieved; "Met" indicates one year of progress has been achieved; "Below" indicates less than one year of progress has been achieved.

Grade 4

## Legend

+ = Above Expected Growth

= Met
Expected Growth

= Below Expected Growth

# **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**



Gra	Progress des 3-8 and 10 Reading and	AllStude	nts	Asian P	integed Sciffe Blander	Anerice Arenice	n Indian Alec	Na Hative	cial	on Hispanic Students	with Disabilities End	AYP Determination by Indicator
N	<b>Nathematics</b>	VII	FCC	ASI	Bis	VIII	His.	Mr	Mi.	Str	Tu.	by Indicator
roficient	Reading	Met	Met	Met	NR	NR	Met	Met	Met	Met	NR	Reading Proficiency: Met
Percent Proficient	Mathematics	Met	Met	Met	NR	NR	Met	Met	Met	Met	NR	Mathematics Met
Percent Tested	Reading	Met	Met	Met	NR	NR	Met	Met	Met	Met	NR	Reading Participation: Met
Percent	Mathematics	Met	Met	Met	NR	NR	Met	Met	Met	Met	NR	Mathematics Met Participation:
G	raduation Rate	Met	Met	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Met	Met	NR	Graduation Met
Att	endance Rate*	Met										Attendance Rate: Met
AYI	P Determination by Subgroup	Met	Met	Met	NR	NR	Met	Met	Met	Met	NR	AYP Determination for Your District:

## Legend

This legend explains terms used in the above chart that describe whether each student group met this year's AYP goals.

For test indicators, AYP can be met in one of four ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP targets with current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP targets with two-year combined results;
- 3) meeting the improvement requirements of Safe Harbor;
- 4) meeting the AYP targets with projected results.

For the non-test attendance rate indicator, AYP can be met in one of three ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP target with the current year results;
- 2) meeting the AYP target with two-year combined results;
- 3) making improvement over the previous year.
- \* Attendance Rate is evaluated only for the All Students subgroup.

For the non-test graduation rate indicator, AYP can be met in one of two ways:

- 1) meeting the AYP target with the current year results;
- 2) making a 2 percentage point improvement over the previous year.

N/A	Not applicable.
NR	Not Required – This indicator was not evaluated for this subgroup because the subgroup size was smaller than the minimum number needed to achieve a statistically eliable result. 30 students is the minimum size for the proficiency and non-test indicators, while 40 is the minimum size for the participation rate indicators.
Met	This subgroup met AYP for this indicator with its current year, two-year combined, Safe Harbor, or growth measure results.
Not Met	This subgroup did not meet AYP for this indicator.

### Class of 2011 Four-Year Graduation Rate Information

All Students 94.2%	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black, non-Hispanic	Econ. Disadvtgd 90.9%
Hispanic	Limited English Proficient	Multi-Racial	Students with Disabilities	White, non-Hispanic
			86.7%	94.1%

### Class of 2010 Five-Year Graduation Rate Information

All Students >95.0%	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black, non-Hispanic	Econ. Disadvtgd 91.4%
Hispanic	Limited English Proficient	Multi-Racial	Students with Disabilities	White, non-Hispanic
				>95.0%

# State and Federally Required District Information

## Your District's Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level

	Black, non-Hispanic	American Indian/ Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi- Racial	White, non-Hispanic	Non- Disabled Students	Students with Disabilities	Migrant	Non- Econ. Disadvtgd	Econ. Disadvtgd	Limited English Proficient	Female	Male
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Limit	ed									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	7.1  10.7 0.0 	   	0.0  0.0 0.0 	2.0  10.2 4.3 	4.2  8.3 5.9	1.6 1.1 2.7 1.6 2.1	0.3 0.0 0.7 0.8 0.0	11.4 10.0 20.1 7.6 23.3	   	1.3 0.4 2.2 1.2	3.3 4.3 6.9 4.2 8.7	0.0  5.9 10.0 	1.3 0.7 2.9 1.6 1.5	2.0 1.2 3.2 1.8 3.0
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Basid										
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	21.4  17.9 18.8	   	2.4  2.4 11.1 	12.2  10.2 13.0 	8.3  8.3 11.8 	4.7 5.7 4.7 8.0 4.6	2.7 1.5 2.4 4.6 2.6	22.8 43.3 23.6 34.5 23.3	   	3.3 4.7 3.6 5.8 3.9	13.9 10.9 11.7 20.2 8.7	35.3  17.6 20.0 	3.8 3.7 4.5 9.4 4.4	6.3 7.2 5.4 7.4 4.8
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scori	ng Profi	cient									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	32.1  28.6 37.5 	   	24.4  22.0 16.7 	40.8  28.6 26.1 	35.4  25.0 41.2 	28.8 35.4 22.5 21.2 21.8	27.4 36.8 22.3 21.3 21.0	42.9 40.0 26.4 26.1 40.0	   	27.7 33.6 20.6 19.8 20.3	36.6 56.5 33.3 31.5 37.0	23.5  35.3 30.0 	26.1 30.9 24.3 23.9 25.7	32.0 42.2 21.6 20.1 20.5
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Acce	lerated									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	10.7  21.4 31.3 	   	31.7  14.6 44.4 	26.5  34.7 52.2 	35.4  27.1 23.5 	33.4 53.9 23.5 33.0 18.6	36.1 58.1 25.5 35.8 20.6	9.4 3.3 10.6 17.6 6.7	   	33.8 57.4 23.5 34.8 20.7	28.3 26.1 24.7 27.4 10.9	41.2  17.6 10.0	32.0 58.8 23.6 31.1 21.3	33.6 47.6 23.8 35.6 17.5
Percen	tage of	Student	s Scorii	ng Adva	nced									
Reading Writing Mathematics Science Social Studies	28.6  21.4 12.5 	   	41.5  61.0 27.8 	18.4  16.3 4.3 	16.7  31.3 17.6 	31.5 3.9 46.6 36.2 52.9	33.5 3.7 49.1 37.5 55.9	13.4 3.3 19.3 14.3 6.7	   	33.8 3.9 50.1 38.4 53.9	18.0 2.2 23.3 16.7 34.8	0.0  23.5 30.0 	36.7 5.9 44.7 34.0 47.1	26.1 1.8 46.1 35.0 54.2

## Your District's Students 2011-2012

Average Daily Student Enrollment	Black, non- Hispanic	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian or Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Multi-Racial	White, non- Hispanic	Economically Disadvantaged	Limited English Proficient	Students with Disabilities	Migrant
3777	1.8%		1.8%	2.2%	1.8%	92.2%	17.3%	0.5%	11.5%	

<sup>-- =</sup> Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group.

Number of Limited English Proficient Students Excluded from Accountability Calculations

\_\_

Under the federal No Child Left Behind Act, states are required to report certain data about schools and teachers. Data presented here are for reporting purposes only and are not used in the computation of the state designation for districts and schools.

## **School Teacher Information**

	All Schools in Your District	High-Poverty Schools Located in Your District*	Low-Poverty Schools Located in Your District*
Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree	85.6%	0.0%	85.4%
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0%		0.0%
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by properly certified teachers	99.9%		99.9%
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by teachers with temporary, conditional or long-term substitute certification/licensure	0.0%		0.0%

"High-poverty schools are those ranked in the top quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. Low-poverty schools are those ranked in the bottom quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. A district may have buildings in both quartiles, in just one quartile or in neither quartile.

<sup>-- =</sup> Not Calculated/Not Displayed when there are fewer than 10 in the group.

# Determining Your District's Designation

Determining your district's report card designation is a multi-step process. The first step is to determine a preliminary designation, which is based on the following components: 1) the percentage of indicators met, 2) the Performance Index and 3) AYP determination.







Indicators Met		Performance Index		AYP Designation		<b>Preliminary Designation</b>
94%-100%	or	100 to 120	and	Met or Not Met	=	Excellent or Effective
75%-93.9%	or	90 to 99.9	and	Met or Not Met	=	Effective or Continuous Improvement
0%-74.9%	or	0 to 89.9	and	Met	=	Continuous
50%-74.9%	or	80 to 89.9	and	Not Met	=	Improvement
31%-49.9%	or	70 to 79.9	and	Not Met	=	Academic Watch
0%-30.9%	and	0 to 69.9	and	Not Met	=	Academic Emergency

The preliminary designation results from identifying the higher value between the percentage of indicators met by your district and your district's Performance Index. AYP then is evaluated to determine its effect on the preliminary designation. There are two ways in which AYP can affect the preliminary designation.

- 1. If a district meets AYP in the current year, it can be rated no lower than Continuous Improvement.
- 2. If an Excellent or Effective district does not meet AYP for the same two (or more) subgroups for three consecutive years, its rating will be decreased to Effective or Continuous Improvement (respectively).

Once the preliminary designation is determined, Value-Added, the fourth measure in the accountability system, is evaluated to determine the impact (if any) on the district's final designation.

- If your district's designation either is increased or decreased due to AYP, Value-Added has no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation becomes the final designation.
- If your district experiences above expected growth in the current year, your district's final designation will increase by one designation.
- 3. If your district experiences below expected growth for at least two consecutive years, your district's final designation will decrease by one designation.

<b>Preliminary Designation</b>		Value-Added Measure*	Final Designation		
Excellent	and	Above expected growth in the current year	Excellent with Distinction		
EXOCITOR	and	Below expected growth for 2 consecutive years	Effective		
Effective	and	Above expected growth in the current year or	Excellent		
		Below expected growth for 2 consecutive years	Continuous Improvement		
Continuous Improvement	and	Above expected growth in the current year or	Effective		
oonunuous improvement		Below expected growth for 2 consecutive years	Academic Watch		
Academic Watch	and	Above expected growth in the current year	Continuous Improvement		
Adductific Water	and	Below expected growth for 2 consecutive years	Academic Emergency		
Academic Emergency	and	Above expected growth in the current year	Academic Watch		
Adda Cino Emorgonoy	and	Below expected growth for 2 consecutive years	Academic Emergency		

<sup>\*</sup>In all other cases, Value-Added will have no impact on the designation and the preliminary designation will become the final designation.

## The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP),

often referred to as "The Nation's Report Card," is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment that enables the comparison of performance in Ohio and other states in various subject areas. Schools and students within each state are selected randomly to be a part of the assessment. Not all students in the state or in a particular school take the assessment. Data are reported at the state level only, and there are no individual student or even school summary results. The assessments are conducted in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography and U.S. history.

To view Ohio's most recent NAEP results, go to:

http://education.ohio.gov

and search for key word "NAEP"